



# Trophoblast lineage cells derived from human induced pluripotent stem cells



Ying Chen<sup>a,\*</sup>, Kai Wang<sup>a</sup>, Gadiseti V.R. Chandramouli<sup>a</sup>, Jason G. Knott<sup>c</sup>, Richard Leach<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, Michigan State University, 333 Bostwick NE, Grand Rapids, MI 49503, USA

<sup>b</sup> Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Women's Health, Spectrum Health Medical Group, USA

<sup>c</sup> Developmental Epigenetics Laboratory, Department of Animal Science, Michigan State University, USA

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 20 May 2013

Available online 15 June 2013

### Keywords:

Human induced pluripotent stem cells

Trophoblast lineage cells

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** During implantation, the blastocyst trophoblast attaches to the endometrial epithelium and continues to differentiate into all trophoblast subtypes, which are the major components of a placenta. Aberrant trophoblast proliferation and differentiation are associated with placental diseases. However, due to ethical and practical issues, there is almost no available cell or tissue source to study the molecular mechanism of human trophoblast differentiation, which further becomes a barrier to the study of the pathogenesis of trophoblast-associated diseases of pregnancy. In this study, our goal was to generate a proof-of-concept model for deriving trophoblast lineage cells from induced pluripotency stem (iPS) cells from human fibroblasts. In future studies the generation of trophoblast lineage cells from iPS cells established from patient's placenta will be extremely useful for studying the pathogenesis of individual trophoblast-associated diseases and for drug testing.

**Methods and results:** Combining iPS cell technology with BMP4 induction, we derived trophoblast lineage cells from human iPS cells. The gene expression profile of these trophoblast lineage cells was distinct from fibroblasts and iPS cells. These cells expressed markers of human trophoblasts. Furthermore, when these cells were differentiated they exhibited invasive capacity and placental hormone secretory capacity, suggesting extravillous trophoblasts and syncytiotrophoblasts.

**Conclusion:** Trophoblast lineage cells can be successfully derived from human iPS cells, which provide a proof-of-concept tool to recapitulate pathogenesis of patient placental trophoblasts *in vitro*.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The segregation of the blastocyst trophoblast and inner cell mass denotes the first cell-fate decision in mammalian development. During human embryo implantation, the outer trophoblast layer attaches to endometrial epithelial cells and continues to differentiate into lineage committed cytotrophoblasts and ultimately syncytiotrophoblasts, villous and extravillous trophoblasts, all required for the formation of a functional placenta. In human assisted reproductive technologies (ART) nearly 60% of embryos do not implant or are lost during the 1st trimester of pregnancy [1]. Identification of embryos prior to transfer that are capable of successful implantation and increased fecundity rates, would provide support for widespread acceptance of single embryo transfer in mitigating ART associated multiple pregnancies. The causes of implantation

failure and/or 1st trimester loss are complex and may be related to an abnormal trophoblast epithelium. It has been demonstrated that the morphological quality of trophoblast in human blastocysts correlates with rates of ongoing pregnancy and miscarriage in fresh and frozen-thawed blastocysts [2]. Trophoblast biopsy of day-5 blastocysts is an approach for preimplantation genetic diagnosis of single gene disorders to select unaffected embryos for uterine transfer. This supports the concept that the state of blastocyst trophoblast dictates, to a significant extent, the success of ongoing pregnancy and can be sampled for testing.

It is well accepted that defective human syncytiotrophoblasts and extravillous trophoblasts will result in implantation failure and abnormal placental formation [3]. Despite the important role that trophoblasts have in implantation and maintaining a successful pregnancy, the underlying molecular and cellular mechanisms responsible for trophoblast lineage establishment and multipotency in humans are largely unknown. The deficiency in this knowledge is due to a lack of available human blastocysts and 1st trimester placentas (<6 weeks gestation) as research specimens. Establishment of human trophoblast lineage cells (TCs) which show temporal and spatial fidelity to the developmental program

\* Corresponding authors. Address: Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, Michigan State University, 333 Bostwick NE, Grand Rapids, MI 49503, USA (Y.Chen; R. Leach).

E-mail addresses: [ying.chen@hc.msu.edu](mailto:ying.chen@hc.msu.edu) (Y. Chen), [Richard.leach@hc.msu.edu](mailto:Richard.leach@hc.msu.edu) (R. Leach).

of human trophoblast lineages will shed light on these cellular and molecular mechanisms.

Over the last decade several laboratories have demonstrated that human embryonic stem (ES) cells can be directed to differentiate into TCs when exposed to BMP4 under specific culture conditions [4–13]. Two major limitations with using human ES cells for generating trophoblasts are the ethics associated with destroying human embryos for ES derivation and the inability to obtain human trophoblasts that are genetically related to the patient and/or patient's placenta. Human induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells which are derived from fibroblasts resemble human ES cells in terms of molecular signature and biofunction [14,15] and are a genetic match to the human donor. Hence, human iPS cells derived from a patient's fibroblasts are an ideal source of cells for differentiation into TCs. Furthermore, establishment of TCs using a patient's placental trophoblasts will provide unique insight to the pathogenesis of placental trophoblasts of the patient, or individual drug testing in personalized medicine. Therefore, the objective of this study was to use iPS cells derived from human fetal fibroblasts to generate TCs in order to establish a proof-of-concept model to study the pathogenesis of trophoblast-associated diseases common during pregnancy.

## 2. Results

### 2.1. Generation and characterization of human iPS cell lines

Human iPS cells, which form distinct colonies composed of small round cells (increased nuclear/cytoplasm ratio) were successfully established from human fetal fibroblasts (IMR-90) using a combination of six reprogramming factors as described previously [14,15] (Fig. 1A and B). All six of the iPS cell lines generated showed similar growth and morphology characteristics. Three of these iPS cell lines were characterized in detail (iPS1, iPS2, iPS3). These cells stained positive for alkaline phosphatase (ALP), (Fig. 1C) as well as pluripotency surface markers (TRA-1-81, SSEA-4, and TRA-1-60) (Fig. 1D–F). The iPS cell lines maintained normal karyotype throughout the lentiviral transduction and the differentiation process (Fig. S1). qPCR revealed that each of the three iPS cell lines highly expressed endogenous pluripotency genes (POU5F1, SOX2, NANOG and LIN28) as well as FOXD3 and TERT similar to ES cells [14,15] and unlike the donor fibroblast (Fig. 1G). The iPS cells also demonstrated pluripotent capability by forming embryoid bodies (EBs) (Fig. S2A). EBs were plated for outgrowths and stained positive for beta-tubulin, alpha-SMA, and AFP; specific markers of ectoderm, mesoderm, and endoderm, respectively (Fig. S2B–D). Furthermore, EBs embedded in Matrigel with BMP4 could secrete estradiol, progesterone and hCG (Fig. S3A) and form multi-nuclear cells (Fig. S3B).

### 2.2. Differentiation of iPS cells into TCs

To determine whether human iPS cells could be differentiated into TCs, iPS cells were cultured in human ES cell medium in the presence of BMP4 and bFGF on feeders for approximately 14 days. By day 7–10 of culture, TCs derived from iPS cells stained positive for trophoblast lineage specific markers CDX2, CK-7, and EOMES which were detected in the cells along the perimeter of the colony (Fig. 2A–D). These cells expressed trophoblast lineage specific genes including CDX2, GATA3, GATA4, and HAND1, while also expressing pluripotent genes POU5F1, TERT and FOXD3, but the expression of NANOG, SOX2 and SALL4 were significantly decreased ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Fig. 2E). These results demonstrate that human iPS cells can differentiate into TCs in a manner that resembles human ES cells.

### 2.3. Epigenetic signature of iPS cells and TCs

Given the tight epigenetic regulation of embryonic and trophoblast lineages, we sought to investigate CpG methylation of key genes in both iPS cells and TCs (Fig. 2F). The differentiation of iPS cells to TCs showed that the CpG islands of the promoters of pluripotent genes POU5F1 and NANOG were hypomethylated in iPS cells and TCs, but were hypermethylated in IMR-90 donor fibroblasts consistent with the low levels of POU5F1 and NANOG expression in these cells ( $P < 0.05$ ). Additionally, the imprinted locus (H19-Igf2) exhibited a similar methylation pattern among IMR-90 donor fibroblasts, iPS cells and TCs, demonstrating that the pattern of CpG methylation was faithfully conserved during the reprogramming and differentiation process.

### 2.4. Transcriptome changes in fibroblasts, iPS cells, and TCs

To further define the differentiation continuum and differences between fibroblasts, iPS and TCs, we performed gene expression analysis by microarray. Cluster analysis revealed that iPS and TCs form a sub-cluster separately from fibroblasts (Fig. 3A). Scatter plot comparison reveals that the correlation between iPS and TCs (coefficient  $r = 0.967$ ) is much higher than the correlation between iPS cells and fibroblasts ( $r = 0.838$ ) (Fig. 3B–D). There were 3238 features common between the significantly altered 5120 features in iPS and 7714 features in TCs (Fig. 3E), indicating greater similarity between iPS and TCs expression profiles as compared to fibroblasts. From principal component analysis (PCA), the projection of two PCs covering 78% of the total variance reveals that both iPS and TCs are differentially expressed from fibroblasts along PC #1 (57% variance) (Fig. 3F). The differences between iPS and TCs are smaller as depicted along PC #2 that covers only 21% of the total variance. The representative genes which show differences between iPS cells and TCs are listed in Table 2. Collectively, these results show that human TCs generated from iPS cells are more similar to iPS cells than fibroblasts, but exhibit a distinct gene expression signature that resembles trophoblasts.

### 2.5. Biofunction of TCs


To determine if TCs can be reliably differentiated into syncytiotrophoblasts, multi-nucleated cells expressing CK-7 and progesterone, estradiol and hCG were identified by day-7 differentiating culture of TCs, indicative of syncytiotrophoblast formation (Fig. 4A–C). Furthermore, to determine whether TCs were functionally similar to human trophoblasts they were grown on Matrigel-coating plates to assess invasion. The invasion percentage of TCs versus fibroblasts was 58.4% versus 25.3% at 20 h ( $n = 3$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ), indicative of invasive trophoblast formation (Fig. 4D).

## 3. Discussion


The goal of this study was to develop a proof-of-principle model to derive TCs from human fibroblasts *in vitro* by employing a combination of iPS cell technology and BMP4 induced differentiation. The findings reported here reveal that TCs derived from human iPS cells are similar to those derived from human ES cells in terms of morphology, functionality, gene expression, and epigenetic control [11].

### 3.1. Establishment of human iPS cells

The human iPS cells that were established from fibroblasts in this study are comparable to human ES cells in terms of pluripotency, gene expression, and epigenetic signature, which is



**Fig. 1.** Induced pluripotent stem cells (iPS cells) established from human fibroblast. (A) Human fetal fibroblast (IMR-90). (B) iPS cells generated from IMR-90. (C) Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) was expressed in iPS cells. (D–F) NANOG/TRA-1-81, NANOG/SSEA-4 and NANOG/TRA-1-60 were expressed in iPS cells. (Scale bars, D are 100  $\mu$ m and the remaining is 200  $\mu$ m.) (G) Endogenous 4 pluripotent factors POU5F1, SOX2, NANOG and LIN28 as well as FOXD3 and TERT were used to measure their respective expression levels. Expression was detected from all 6 loci in the iPS cells, compared to donor fibroblasts ( $P < 0.05$ ). The expression level relative to fibroblast = 1.



**Fig. 2.** iPS cells (iPSC) generated from fibroblasts were differentiated into trophoblast lineage cells (TC) with BMP4. (A) TC derived from iPSC. (B–D) TC identity is confirmed with CDX2, EOMES and CK-7 protein expression in cultures. Scale bar, 200  $\mu$ M. (E) TC express pluripotent associated transcripts: FOXD3, TERT and POU5F1, as well as NANOG, SOX2 and SALL4 ( $P < 0.05$ ); and trophoblast specific transcripts: CDX2, HAND1, GATA3 and GATA4. (F) DNA methylation status of iPSC, TC and fibroblasts on POU5F1, NANOG, H19-IGF2.

consistent with others reports [14,15]. EBs, which formed from iPS cells, secreted trophoblast hormones in different time courses in Matrigel with BMP4 consistent with findings in human ES cells [4–8,10–13].


### 3.2. BMP4-induced differentiation of human iPS cells into TCs

BMP4 can efficiently induce differentiation of human ES cells into trophoblasts [4–8,10–13]. In the present study, our TCs derived from iPS cells exhibited epithelial colony morphology in culture for 2 weeks. The TCs expressed human trophoblast-specific genes and other pluripotent genes, which are consistent with the trophoblast of human blastocysts [16–19] [Table 2]. The complicated scenario of bFGF, BMP4, or both in maintaining and differentiation of human ES cells have been extensively studied. bFGF is found to help maintain pluripotent status of ES cells through MEK/ERK signaling [20], while BMP4 facilitates trophoblast lineage differentiation combined with TGF-beta signaling [13]. Recently, it has been challenged that human ES cells cultured in the presence


of BMP4 alone or in combination with bFGF, do not give rise to “real” trophoblast lineage cells [21,22]. For example, Bernardo et al. showed that human ES cells treated with BMP4 largely favored mesoderm lineage rather than trophoblast lineage [21]. However, the work of Roberts and colleagues demonstrated that human ES cells treated with BMP4, under specific culture conditions, predominantly form TCs [6,9]. Our iPS cells based BMP4 model is in agreement with their findings. Nonetheless, additional research is necessary to fully understand the role of bFGF, BMP4 or both in the differentiation of human ES cells into TCs.

### 3.3. Human iPS cells differentiation into TCs

Due to limited information on trophoblast formation in human blastocysts, the mouse system has been extensively characterized to better understand trophoblast formation in mammals. Our trophoblast characterization showed some similarities as well as differences compared with mouse model. For example, similar to the trophoblast of human and Rhesus blastocysts,



**Fig. 3.** Transcriptome analysis iPSC cells (iPSC), trophoblast lineage cells (TC), and fibroblasts. (A) Clustering analysis indicates that iPSC and TC form a sub-cluster separately from fibroblasts. (B–D) The scatter plots reveal that the correlation between iPSC and TC (coefficient  $r = 0.967$ ) is much higher than the correlation between iPSC and fibroblast ( $r = 0.838$ ). (E) There were 3238 features common between the significantly altered 5120 features in iPSC and 7714 features in TC. These observations indicate greater similarity between iPSC and TC expression profiles compared to fibroblasts. (F) From PCA, the projection on two principal components (PCs) covering 78% of the total variance reveals that both iPSC and TC are differentially expressed from fibroblasts along PC #1 (57% variance). The differences between iPSC and TC are smaller as depicted along PC #2 that covers only 21% of the total variance.



**Fig. 4.** Trophoblast lineage cells (TC) derived from iPS cells (iPSC) differentiated into trophoblasts with 100 ng/ml BMP4 for 7-day. (A) Multinuclear trophoblasts formed, nuclei marked by red. (B) CK-7 (green) positive cells. (C) Estradiol, hCG and Progesterone detected from D-7 culture medium. ( $n = 3$ ). (D) TC showed significant invasive capability compare to donor fibroblast in 20–22 h invasion assay ( $n = 3$ ). Scale bar, 200  $\mu$ m.

our TCs exhibited high levels of CDX2 and POU5F1, but low levels of NANOG [16,23]. Unlike the human and Rhesus counterparts, mouse trophoctoderm and trophoblast stem (TS) cells highly express CDX2, but not POU5F1 [24]. It has been well documented that in mouse TS cells, POU5F1 and CDX2 exhibit reciprocal regulation [25]. Hence, combining others observations with ours, we postulate that in human trophoctoderm, NANOG and CDX2 may exhibit reciprocal regulation instead of POU5F1 and CDX2 reciprocal regulation. Future studies are necessary to establish the exact relationship between CDX2 and NANOG in human trophoctoderm.

To address whether or not our TCs exhibited trophoblast biofunction, we removed bFGF and feeder cells from the culture medium. We found multinuclear cells and detectable levels of progesterone, estradiol and hCG in our differentiating culture, indicating syncytio-like trophoblasts. Meanwhile, these cells showed significant invasion capability compared to donor fibroblasts, a feature of extravillous trophoblasts. These findings further suggest that these TCs have multiple differentiation potency *in vitro*, which is consistent with others [8,11,26]. Unfortunately, in this study we did not have access to primary first trimester cytotrophoblasts to serve as a side-by-side positive control for hormone and invasion assays when testing our TCs.

The epithelial-like phenotype of TCs we generated from iPS cells suggests that this cell model could be a useful tool to recapitulate human trophoblast development *in vitro*. Furthermore, the establishment of TCs from iPS cells provides a proof-of-concept model to recapitulate the pathogenesis of placental trophoblasts *in vitro* when using a patient's cells as donor cells. Using a patient's placental fibroblasts as donor cells will be the focus for a future study.

## 4. Materials and methods

### 4.1. Cell culture

#### 4.1.1. iPS cells generation

Human iPS cells were generated using previously reported methods [14,15]. In brief, Human lenti-virus constructs for *POU5F1*, *SOX2*, *NANOG*, *LIN28*, *C-MYC*, and *KLF4* (OpenBiosystems) were used to produce iPS cells from human fetal fibroblasts (IMR-90, ATCC).  $1.25 \times 10^5$  fibroblasts were transduced by a mixture of 6 viral genes in fibroblast medium (DMEM, high glucose + 10% FBS + 1% L-Glu + 1% NEAA + 1% penicillin/streptomycin (P/S)) and cells were incubated with virus cocktail for 24 h. After 96 h, cells were passaged onto in-activated CF-1 mouse embryonic fibroblast (Millipore) in fibroblast medium. The following day fibroblast medium was replaced with human ES medium (DMEM/F12 + 20% serum replacement + 1% L-Glu + 1% NEAA + 0.5% P/S + 0.1 mM 2-ME + 10 ng/ml bFGF), and cells were subsequently cultured in human ES medium. Colonies were manually picked approximately day-25–30 after viral transduction. Colonies were passaged mechanically every 5–7 days. All of the reagents used in this study were from invitrogen unless otherwise noted.

#### 4.1.2. iPS cells differentiation to TCs

Human iPS cells differentiating into TCs using previously reported methods [8] with some modifications. After 48 h iPS cells were split onto a feeder and treated with 100 ng/ml BMP4 (R&D) in human ES medium. The BMP4/ES medium was changed every other day. Cells were passaged every 5 days at a ratio of 1:3–4.

#### 4.1.3. Biofunction assay of TCs

Biofunction assay using previously reported methods [8]. In brief, day-15 TCs were split onto a 4-well plate and cultured with

100 ng/ml BMP4 in ES medium (–bFGF). The medium was changed every other day. The cells and supernatant were analyzed on day-7 after the onset of treatment.

#### 4.1.4. ELISA assay

Supernatant of day-7 trophoblasts, day-15 TCs and iPS cells were collected to detect the hCG, progesterone, and estradiol by ELISA kit (R&D), following the manufacture's instruction. The read-out in spectrum was between 490 and 560 nm.

#### 4.1.5. Invasion assay

Invasion assays were carried out using previously described methods [27]. In brief, TCs and fibroblast (IMR-90) were seeded  $2 \times 10^4$  per upper cells (BD cop.) with/without matrigel in the upper wells respectively. The medium used in upper well is: DMEM/F12 + 20% serum replacement + 1% NEAA + 1% Glu + 0.1 mM 2-ME. The medium used in bottom well is: RPMI1640 + 20% FBS + 1% sodium pyruvate + 1% L-Glu + 0.1 mM 2-ME. Around 20–22 h, the cells were collected and stained by 0.09% Crystal violet solution. The upper cells were carefully removed by cotton swab, and then 5 fields per backside upper cell were photographed and counted (Nikon software).

### 4.2. qRT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated with PicoPure RNA isolation kit (Moleculardevices), and first strand cDNA synthesis was performed by Superscript II (invitrogen). qPCR was conducted using SYBR green PCR master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and Primers used were summarized in Table 1.

### 4.3. Immunocytochemistry

Cells were fixed in 4.0% paraformaldehyde for 10 min, and then penetrated with 0.3% triton X-100 in PBS for 15 min. Cells were blocked with 5% BSA for 30 min. Cells were incubated in primary antibody for 4 °C overnight, and then incubated with secondary antibody (Alexa Fluors, Invitrogen) for 45 min. The cells were finally stained for the nuclei by Hoechst33342 (sigma). Imaging used a Nikon 2000 inverted microscope and was analyzed by Openlab system (Apple). Primary antibodies used in this study were SSEA-4 (1:100, Millipore), TRA-1–60 (1:100, Millipore), TRA-1–81 (1:100, Millipore), NANOG (1:100, Abcam), CDX2 (1:100, Abcam), EOMES (2 µg/ml, Abcam), CK-7 (1:100, Dako), ALP activity was detected by ALP substrate kit (Vector) according to manufacturer's protocol.

### 4.4. DNA methylation

The method as previously described [28]. Briefly,  $3 \times 10^4$  iPS cells, fibroblasts, or TCs were collected. Extraction of genomic DNA and bisulfate mutagenesis were performed using the Ready-Amp Genomic Kit (Promega, Madison, WI) and the EZ DNA Methylation Kit (Zymo Research, Orange, CA), respectively. PCR products were verified by running on a 2% agarose gel, and then purified and ligated into the pTOPO 10 vector system (invitrogen) and more than 10 clones per cell sample were randomly picked up for sequencing.

### 4.5. Gene array and analysis

We analyzed RNA with human genome 4X44K expression chip (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) using the manufacture's Reader and collected primary data using the supplied Scanner software. Background subtracted signals were extracted using Agilent Feature Extraction Software (v9.5). The signals of each array were

**Table 1**

Primers used in this study.

Gene names	Forward primer	Reverse primer
POU5F1	GCCTGCCCTTCTAGGAATGG	AGTGAATGAAGAACTTAATCCCA
LIN28	AGTGGAGAAAGTGGGAATAGG	TCCTTTGACTCACCTTTCC
KLF4	GAGGGAAGGAGCCAGCCAG	TTTGTCTTTGGATTCTCAT
CMYC	CTAACAGAAATGTCTGAGCAATC	CCCAAAGTCCAATTGAGGC
SOX2	ACCGAACTGGAGGGGGGAGA	TTCTTTTGAGCGTACCGGG
NANOG	ACTTGGAGGCTGCCTTGGAA	AGGTTGCATGTTTCATGGAGTAG
viral POU5F1	GAAGCCTTTCCCTCTGTCTC	CACAAATTTTGTATCCAGAGG
Viral NANOG	GGGTGGAGTATGTTGGAGC	CACAAATTTTGTATCCAGAGG
viral LIN28	AGTGCACAGGGAAGCCAAC	CACAAATTTTGTATCCAGAGG
viral KLF4	CCCGTTCCAGTGCCAAAAAT	CACAAATTTTGTATCCAGAGG
viral CMYC	GGACTTGTGCGGAAACGAC	CACAAATTTTGTATCCAGAGG
viralSOX2	CTTCACATGTCCAGCACTA	CACAAATTTTGTATCCAGAGG
GATA 4	AGTCTCCACAGACCAGCTCC	AGGTCGGTCAGGAATTGAG
GATA 3	ACATGCTGACCACGCCACG	GCAGGGCTTAACCCATGGC
FOXD3	GGGCAAGGGCAACTACTGGA	GTAAGCGCCGAAGCTCTGCAT
EOMES	AGCTCTCAAGGAGAAAGTG	GCCTTCGCTTACAAGCACTG
HAND1	TCAAGGCTGAAGTCAAGAAGG	TGCGTCTTTAATCCTCTTC
CDX2	CCCTCGGCAGCCAAAGTAAA	TCCTCCGATGGTGATGTAG
G6PDH	TGGCTCCAAGGAGTAAGAC	CTCTCAAGGGGTCTACATGG
TERT	TGATGAGTGTACGTCGTCGA	AAGTGCTGTCTGATTCCAATGC

**Table 2.**

Representative genes in human iPS cells and trophoblast lineage cells.

Gene name	Alternative name/function
<i>High in trophoblast Lineage cells vs. iPS cells</i>	
CDX2	(caudal type homeobox 2) important functions in early differentiation to trophoblast lineage
EGF	(epidermal growth factor) stimulates the growth of various epidermal and epithelial tissues <i>in vivo</i> and <i>in vitro</i>
GATA2	(GATA binding protein2) transcriptional activator which regulates endothelin-1 gene expression in endothelial cells
KRT8	(keratin 8) together with KRT18, to be necessary for embryo survival
LIFR	(leukemia inhibitory factor receptor alpha) signal-transducing molecule. May have a common pathway with IL6ST
ISL1	(ISL LIM homeobox 1) binds to one of the cis-acting domain of the insulin gene enhancer
IGF2	(insulin-like growth factor 2) is influenced by placental lactogen and may play a role in fetal development
COL3A1	(collagen, type III, alpha 1) collagen type III occurs in most soft connective tissues along with type I collagen
HGF	(hepatocyte growth factor) acts as growth factor for a broad spectrum of tissues and cell types
GATA3	(GATA binding protein 3) an important regulator of T-cell development and plays an important role in endothelial cell biology
GATA4	(GATA binding protein 4) acts as a transcriptional activator of ANF in cooperation with NKX2-5
<i>High in iPS cells vs. Trophoblast lineage cells</i>	
SOX2	(SRY (sex determining region Y)-box 2) critical for early embryogenesis and for embryonic stem cell pluripotency
SLAIN1	(SLAIN motif family, member 1) stem cell gene
ZNF483	(zinc finger protein 483) may be involved in transcriptional regulation
EPB41	(erythrocyte membrane protein band 4.1) tumor suppressor genes in meningioma pathogenesis
LRIG1	(leucine-rich repeats and immunoglobulin-like domains) act as a feedback negative regulator of signaling by receptor tyrosine kinases
PRDM14	(PR domain containing 14) may suppress expression of differentiation marker genes in human embryonic stem cells
POLR3G	(polymerase (RNA) III (DNA directed) polypeptide G) human embryonic stem cell specific gene
CAV1	(caveolin 1, caveolae protein) CAV-1 is a transcriptional target of EWS/FLI-1
NANOG	(Nanog homeobox) imposes pluripotency on ES cells and prevents their differentiation towards extraembryonic endoderm and trophoblast lineages
SALL4	(Sal-like 4) may be a zinc finger transcription factor

normalized by median centering of ratios considering fibroblast as reference RNA. The features flagged as detected in all of iPS cells or TCs replicates or fibroblast were included in the analysis [29]. All the statistical calculations were performed on logarithmic values of signals to the base 2. The statistical significance of iPS cells or TCs versus fibroblast differential expression was evaluated by two-tailed one-sample *T*-tests. The features which differentially expressed in iPS cells and TCs respectively that altered by 2-fold at  $P < 0.05$  and having at least one geometric average signal  $> 100$  will be analyzed. The global expression profiles of fibroblast, iPS cells and TCs were examined by hierarchical clustering analysis, principal component analysis (PCA), and scatter plots using R-statistical package version 2.10.1 (<http://www.R-project.org>). About 25,700 probes which were flagged as detected in all of the arrays were included for these analyses.

#### 4.6. Statistical analysis

Student's *t*-test (paired or unpaired) was used for calculation of statistical significance. Error bars on the graphs show standard deviation of three or more replicates ( $n = 3$ ) or samples.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Dr. Trixie Smith and Ms Susan Ferguson for critically reading the manuscript and providing constructive criticism. This research was supported by the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development/National Institute of Health cooperative agreement (U54 HD 40093 to R.E.L.) as part of the Specialized Cooperative Centers Program in Reproduction and Infertility Research, and in part by

a grant from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences to J.G.K. (R01GM09534).

## Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2013.06.016>.

## References

- [1] B. Luke, M.B. Brown, E. Wantman, A. Lederman, W. Gibbons, G.L. Schattman, R.A. Lobo, R.E. Leach, J.E. Stern, Cumulative birth rates with linked assisted reproductive technology cycles, *N. Engl. J. Med.* 366 (2012) 2483–2491.
- [2] H. Honnma, T. Baba, M. Sasaki, Y. Hashiba, H. Ohno, T. Fukunaga, T. Endo, T. Saito, Y. Asada, Trophoblast morphology significantly affects the rates of ongoing pregnancy and miscarriage in frozen-thawed single-blastocyst transfer cycle in vitro fertilization, *Fertil. Steril.* 98 (2012) 361–367.
- [3] M. Hemberger, Health during pregnancy and beyond: fetal trophoblast cells as chief co-ordinators of intrauterine growth and reproductive success, *Ann. Med.* 44 (2012) 325–337.
- [4] P. Das, T. Ezashi, L.C. Schulz, S.D. Westfall, K.A. Livingston, R.M. Roberts, Effects of fgf2 and oxygen in the bmp4-driven differentiation of trophoblast from human embryonic stem cells, *Stem Cell Res.* 1 (2007) 61–74.
- [5] T.M. Erb, C. Schneider, S.E. Mucko, J.S. Sanfilippo, N.C. Lowry, M.N. Desai, R.S. Mangoubi, S.H. Leuba, P.J. Sammak, Paracrine and epigenetic control of trophoblast differentiation from human embryonic stem cells: the role of bone morphogenic protein 4 and histone deacetylases, *Stem Cells Dev.* 20 (2011) 1601–1614.
- [6] T. Ezashi, B.P. Telugu, R.M. Roberts, Model systems for studying trophoblast differentiation from human pluripotent stem cells, *Cell Tissue Res.* 349 (2012) 809–824.
- [7] T.G. Golos, L.M. Pollastrini, B. Gerami-Naini, Human embryonic stem cells as a model for trophoblast differentiation, *Semin. Reprod. Med.* 24 (2006) 314–321.
- [8] R.H. Xu, X. Chen, D.S. Li, R. Li, G.C. Addicks, C. Glennon, T.P. Zwaka, J.A. Thomson, BMP4 initiates human embryonic stem cell differentiation to trophoblast, *Nat. Biotechnol.* 20 (2002) 1261–1264.
- [9] M. Amita, K. Adachi, A.P. Alexenko, S. Sinha, D.J. Schust, L.C. Schulz, R.M. Roberts, T. Ezashi, Complete and unidirectional conversion of human embryonic stem cells to trophoblast by BMP4, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 110 (2013) E1212–E1221.
- [10] B. Gerami-Naini, O.V. Dovzhenko, M. Durning, F.H. Wegner, J.A. Thomson, T.G. Golos, Trophoblast differentiation in embryoid bodies derived from human embryonic stem cells, *Endocrinology* 145 (2004) 1517–1524.
- [11] R. Harun, L. Ruban, M. Matin, J. Draper, N.M. Jenkins, G.C. Liew, P.W. Andrews, T.C. Li, S.M. Laird, H.D. Moore, Cytotrophoblast stem cell lines derived from human embryonic stem cells and their capacity to mimic invasive implantation events, *Hum. Reprod.* 21 (2006) 1349–1358.
- [12] R. Udayashankar, D. Baker, E. Tuckerman, S. Laird, T.C. Li, H.D. Moore, Characterization of invasive trophoblasts generated from human embryonic stem cells, *Hum. Reprod.* 26 (2011) 398–406.
- [13] Z. Wu, W. Zhang, G. Chen, L. Cheng, J. Liao, N. Jia, Y. Gao, H. Dai, J. Yuan, L. Cheng, L. Xiao, Combinatorial signals of activin/nodal and bone morphogenic protein regulate the early lineage segregation of human embryonic stem cells, *J. Biol. Chem.* 283 (2008) 24991–25002.
- [14] J. Yu, M.A. Vodyanik, K. Smuga-Otto, J. Antosiewicz-Bourget, J.L. Frane, S. Tian, J. Nie, G.A. Jonsdottir, V. Ruotti, R. Stewart, I.I. Slukvin, J.A. Thomson, Induced pluripotent stem cell lines derived from human somatic cells, *Science* 318 (2007) 1917–1920.
- [15] K. Takahashi, K. Tanabe, M. Ohnuki, M. Narita, T. Ichisaka, K. Tomoda, S. Yamanaka, Induction of pluripotent stem cells from adult human fibroblasts by defined factors, *Cell* 131 (2007) 861–872.
- [16] G. Cauffman, M. De Rycke, K. Sermon, I. Liebaers, H. Van de Velde, Markers that define stemness in ESC are unable to identify the totipotent cells in human preimplantation embryos, *Hum. Reprod.* 24 (2009) 63–70.
- [17] Q. Bai, S. Assou, D. Haouzi, J.M. Ramirez, C. Monzo, F. Becker, S. Gerbal-Chaloin, S. Hamamah, J. De Vos, Dissecting the first transcriptional divergence during human embryonic development, *Stem Cell Rev.* 8 (2012) 150–162.
- [18] J. Adjaye, J. Huntriss, R. Herwig, A. BenKahla, T.C. Brink, C. Wierling, C. Hultschig, D. Groth, M.L. Yaspo, H.M. Picton, R.G. Gosden, H. Lehrach, Primary differentiation in the human blastocyst: comparative molecular portraits of inner cell mass and trophoblast cells, *Stem Cells* 23 (2005) 1514–1525.
- [19] A.E. Chen, D. Egli, K. Niakan, J. Deng, H. Akutsu, M. Yamaki, C. Cowan, C. Fitz-Gerald, K. Zhang, D.A. Melton, K. Eggan, Optimal timing of inner cell mass isolation increases the efficiency of human embryonic stem cell derivation and allows generation of sibling cell lines, *Cell Stem Cell* 4 (2009) 103–106.
- [20] T.E. Ludwig, V. Bergendahl, M.E. Levenstein, J. Yu, M.D. Probasco, J.A. Thomson, Feeder-independent culture of human embryonic stem cells, *Nat. Methods* 3 (2006) 637–646.
- [21] A.S. Bernardo, T. Faial, L. Gardner, K.K. Niakan, D. Ortmann, C.E. Senner, E.M. Callery, M.W. Trotter, M. Hemberger, J.C. Smith, L. Bardwell, A. Moffett, R.A. Pedersen, BRACHYURY and CDX2 mediate BMP-induced differentiation of human and mouse pluripotent stem cells into embryonic and extraembryonic lineages, *Cell Stem Cell* 9 (2011) 144–155.
- [22] P. Yu, G. Pan, J. Yu, J.A. Thomson, FGF2 sustains NANOG and switches the outcome of BMP4-induced human embryonic stem cell differentiation, *Cell Stem Cell* 8 (2011) 326–334.
- [23] A.J. Harvey, D.R. Armant, B.D. Bavister, S.M. Nichols, C.A. Brenner, Inner cell mass localization of NANOG precedes OCT3/4 in rhesus monkey blastocysts, *Stem Cells Dev.* 18 (2009) 1451–1458.
- [24] S. Tanaka, T. Kunath, A.K. Hadjantonakis, A. Nagy, J. Rossant, Promotion of trophoblast stem cell proliferation by FGF4, *Science* 282 (1998) 2072–2075.
- [25] J. Rossant, Stem cells and lineage development in the mammalian blastocyst, *Reprod. Fertil. Dev.* 19 (2007) 111–118.
- [26] G.C. Douglas, C.A. VandeVoort, P. Kumar, T.C. Chang, T.G. Golos, Trophoblast stem cells: models for investigating trophoblast differentiation and placental development, *Endocr. Rev.* 30 (2009) 228–240.
- [27] R.E. Leach, B. Kilburn, J. Wang, Z. Liu, R. Romero, D.R. Armant, Heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor regulates human extravillous cytotrophoblast development during conversion to the invasive phenotype, *Dev. Biol.* 266 (2004) 223–237.
- [28] K. Wang, Y. Chen, E.A. Chang, J.G. Knott, J.B. Cibelli, Dynamic epigenetic regulation of the Oct4 and Nanog regulatory regions during neural differentiation in rhesus nuclear transfer embryonic stem cells, *Cloning Stem Cells* 11 (2009) 483–496.
- [29] J.E. Mold, S. Venkatasubrahmanyam, T.D. Burt, J. Michaelsson, J.M. Rivera, S.A. Galkina, K. Weinberg, C.A. Stoddart, J.M. McCune, Fetal and adult hematopoietic stem cells give rise to distinct T cell lineages in humans, *Science* 330 (2010) 1695–1699.